ComunicaçãoBreve

Revista Brasileira Multidisciplinar

1 SSN 1415-3580 e-issn 2527-2675

http://revistarebram.com/index.php/revistauniara

TOXICITY BY METSULFURON-METHYL IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SOYBEAN CROP SUBMITTED TO SEED TREATMENT

Joice Aline Freiberg*; Marcos Paulo Ludwig**

* Universidade Federal de Santa Maria (UFSM), Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciência do Solo. Avenida Roraima, 1000, 97105900, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil.

** Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Rio Grande do Sul - Campus Ibirubá. Rua Nelsi Ribas Fritsch, 1111, 98200-000, Ibirubá, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil.

*Autor para correspondência e-mail: joice.freiberg@hotmail.com; jaf.freiberg@gmail.com

Resumo PALAVRAS-CHAVE Different management strategies and products can be adopted for weed control and seed treatment. This study evaluated the effect of metsulfuron-methyl application on the establishment of soybean (Glycine Aminoácido max Merril. L) at two herbicide application times and in response to micronutrient and amino acid Emergêcia de Plântulas seed treatment. The soybean seeds, cultivar Nidera 5909, were submitted to the following treatments: Glycine max Merril. L control (without treatment); amino acid; micronutrient 1 (a product containing amino acids from Herbicida seaweed, cobalt, and molybdenum) and micronutrient 2 (a product containing amino acids from Micronutriente seaweed). The sowing periods occurred 15 days after the herbicide application or immediately after its application. We evaluated the emergence of seedlings at seven and 14 days after sowing (DAS), and **Keywords** the dry matters of roots and shoots at 14 and 21 DAS. The metsulfuron-methyl affected the seedling Amino Acid emergence at seven days after the sowing in seed treated with micronutrient 1 immediately after the Seed Emergence herbicide application. At 21 DAS, a reduction in the dry mass of seedling roots was observed, which Glycine Max Merril. L sowing occurred immediately after the application of the herbicide. The application of metsulfuron-Herbicide methyl at sowing may damage the establishment and performance of soybean seedlings. Therefore, Micronutrient it is important to carry out sowing respecting the period of carryover effects from the herbicide, in order to prevent phytotoxicity symptoms to the soybean crop.

Toxidez por metsulfurom-metílico no estabelecimento da cultura da soja submetida ao tratamento de sementes

Diferentes estratégias de manejo e produtos podem ser utilizadas para o controle de plantas daninhas e o tratamento de sementes. Este trabalho avaliou o efeito da aplicação de metsulfurom-metílico no estabelecimento da cultura da soja (--), em duas épocas de aplicação do herbicida e em resposta ao tratamento de sementes com micronutrientes e aminoácidos. As sementes de soja, cultivar Nidera 5909, foram submetidas aos seguintes tratamentos: testemunha (sem tratamento); aminoácido (Amino Seed Raiz); micronutriente 1 (produto contendo aminoácidos de algas marinhas, cobalto e molibdênio) e micronutriente 2 (produto contendo aminoácidos de algas marinhas). A semeadura ocorreu 15 dias após a aplicação do herbicida e imediatamente após a sua aplicação. Nós avaliamos a emergência de plântulas aos sete e 14 dias após a semeadura (DAS); e a matéria seca de raiz e parte aérea, aos 14 e aos 21 DAS. O metsulfurom-metílico afetou a emergência das plântulas aos sete dias após a semeadura em sementes tratadas com micronutriente 1 e semeadas imediatamente após a aplicação do herbicida. Aos 21 DAS, observou-se redução na massa seca de raízes das plântulas, cuja semeadura ocorreu imediatamente após a aplicação do herbicida. A aplicação de metsulfuron-metil na semeadura pode prejudicar o estabelecimento e o desempenho de plântulas de soja. Nesse sentido, é importante realizar a semeadura respeitando o período do efeito residual do herbicida, a fim de prevenir sintomas de fitotoxicidade na cultura da soja.

Recebido em:14/09/2020 Aprovação final em: 05/11/2020 DOI: https://doi.org/10.25061/2527-2675/ReBraM/2021.v24i1.1043

Revista Brasileira Multidisciplinar - ReBraM

INTRODUCTION

The soybean crop has undoubted economic importance in Brazil, being the main agricultural product exported. In order to achieve high yield, different product technologies have been used to increase soybean yield. Among the various factors that affect crop performance, weed competition has been reported as one of the factors that can significantly reduce grain yield (SILVA et al., 2009). Depending on the weed infestation, the interference in the reduction of grain yield can vary from 73% to 92.5% (SILVA et al., 2009). This influence of weed on yield, associated with the soybean cultivation area, estimated at 32,2 million hectares in 2015; point to the soybean culture as the largest consumer of pesticides in Brazil (570,060,129.90 liters of pesticides), with emphasis on the herbicides Ggyphosate, 2,4-D, and atrazine (PIGNATI et al., 2017).

In addition to the excessive use of herbicides, successive applications of herbicides with the same mechanisms of action have caused weed resistance (RIZZARDI; SILVA, 2014). The main cases of resistance are related to soybean culture and the mechanism of action of inhibiting the enzyme acetolactate synthase ALS (CRUZ et al., 2020). Among the weeds, Conyza spp. has been reported as an important resistant weed (CRUZ et al., 2020). In wheat, one of the strategies for their control is the association of metsulfuron-methyl with glyphosate, either pre-sowing, or post-emergence at any stage of the crop, with a 30-day grace period (AGOSTINETTO, 2015). The use of metsulfuron-methyl has also been reported for white oat and ryegrass in winter pastures (DALAZEN; CRUZE; MACHADO, 2015), and in pre-sowing of soybeans to control the Conyza bonariensis resistant to the glyphosate (PAULA et al., 2011).

The application of the metsulfuron-methyl herbicide in winter crops should be carried out at least 60 days before soybean sowing, due to the low decomposition of the active ingredient in dry and low-temperature environments (VARGAS; GAZZIERO, 2009). In this sense, when the period between herbicide application and soybean sowing is disregarded, toxicity symptoms can be observed in soybeans plants in succession. In soybean, necrosis (brownish colour) of the node that connects the leaf petiole to the stem occurs, followed by the appearance of brown colour in the marrow and the death of the apical buds, along with the shoots of the lateral buds and the inhibition of root growth (BIANCHI, 2009). These symptoms occur due to the inhibition of the enzyme acetoacetate synthase (ALS), responsible for the synthesis of three branched-chain amino acids: valine, leucine, and isoleucine (AGROFIT, 2019; SILVA; SILVA, 2007).

In addition to weed control strategies, soybean management also includes seed treatment. Fungicides, insecticides and polymers (DECARLI et al., 2019) are commonly applied to seeds. Besides these, micronutrients (SFREDO; OLIVEIRA, 2010) and amino acids (TEIXEIRA et al., 2017) may be applied to improve crop performance. Thus, this study analyzed the effect of metsulfuron-methyl application on the establishment of soybean crops (*Glycine max Merrill L.*), for two herbicide application times and in response to micronutrient and amino acid seed treatment.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the experimental area of the Instituto Federal de Ciência e Tecnologia do Rio Grande do Sul, Campus Ibirubá, during the period from May to June 2013. The experimental design used was a factorial scheme (4 seed treatments \times 2 periods of herbicide application), with four repetitions in randomized blocks. Soybean seeds (cultivar Nidera 5909) were submitted to the following seed treatments: control (without treatment), amino acids (Amino Seed Raiz), and micronutrient 1 (a product containing amino acids from seaweeds and Cobalt and Molybdenum) and micronutrient 2 (a product containing amino acids from seaweeds). Doses of 1.2 mL kg⁻¹ of the commercial products were applied on the seed through a water syrup. The seeds were sown in two conditions: (1) fifteen days after the application of herbicide and (2) immediately after the application of the herbicide. The dose of herbicide (metsulfuron-methyl) applied on soil was equivalent to 4 g ha⁻¹, and in proportion to the area

of pots. After sowing, the experiment was inspected daily, and the pots irrigated two to three times a week, according to the soybean requirement.

Experimental units were composed of plastic pots, 30 cm in diameter and approximately 20 cm in height. Pots were filled with soil–Oxisol (SOIL SURVEY STAFF, 2014), characterized by being in the layer of 0–10 cm and with pH 5.7, 6.6 cmol_c dm⁻³ Ca, 1.7 cmol_c dm⁻³ Mg, 68.2 mg dm⁻³ P-Melhich, 276 mg dm⁻³ K, 3% organic matter and 47% clay. In each pot, twenty-five soybean seeds were sown at 3 cm depth.

Seedling emergence was evaluated at seven and 14 days after sowing (DAS). For this evaluation, we counted the seedlings with cotyledons 2 cm above the level of the soil. At 14 and 21 DAS, we collected among 10-13 and ten seedlings, respectively, for the determination of root and shoot dry mass. The seedlings were washed and placed in a forced circulation air oven at a temperature of 65.5°C until reaching a constant weight. The dry mass of seedlings was express in grams per seedling.

Analysis of variance was performed to verify the significance of the treatments and times of herbicide application. When significant, means were compared by the Tukey test (5%), with the ExpDes.pt package in R (FERREIRA; CAVALCANTI; NOGUEIRA, 2013).

Results and discussion

The metsulfuron-methyl affected the emergence of seedlings seven days after the sowing (DAS) in seed treated with micronutrient 1 immediately after the herbicide application (p = 0.00625). Control seeds also presented a decrease in seedling emergence immediately after the herbicide application; however, no difference was observed between the metsulfuron-methyl applications fifteen days prior to the sowing. Subsequently, at 14 DAS, seed treatment and herbicide application did not affect the seedling emergence (Table 1).

amino acids.							
	7 DAS		14 DAS				
Seed treatment	Herbicide application						
	15 days pre-sowing	Sowing	15 days pre-sowing	Sowing			
Control Amino acid	7.5 Aa 4.2 Aa	4.2 Aab 8.2 Aa	22.8 ^{ns} 22.5	23.5 ^{ns} 24.2			
Micronutrient 1	9.5 Aa	1.2 Bb	23.2	24.5			
Micronutrient 2	6.0 Aa	9.0 Aa	23.5	23.3			
CV (%)	53.92		7.59				

 Table 1- Emergence of soybean seedlings, at seven and 14 days after sowing (DAS), at two times of application of metsulfuron-methyl and in response to the treatment of seeds with micronutrients and

^{ns} No significant effect .

Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column and upper case in the row did not differ by the Tukey test (p< 0.05).

Source: Prepared by the authors, 2020.

The low seedling emergence at seven DAS occurred in seed treated with micronutrient containing amino acids from seaweeds, Co, and Mo. Despite the importance of cobalt to the symbiotic fixation process (MARCONDES; CAIRES, 2005), and the molybdenum in biochemical reactions, as a cofactor of enzymes (SFREDO; OLIVEIRA, 2010), Co and Mo may have caused the decrease of seedling emergence. Besides the association with the herbicide application, the micronutrient may have been toxic. Studies showed

Freiberg & Ludwig

that the application of 3.4 g ha⁻¹ of Co on seed decreased the grain yield by 5%, and the application of Mo did not affect the number and mass of nodules, the dry mass of the aerial part, the plant height, and some yield components (MARCONDES; CAIRES, 2005). Moreover, Dörr et al. (2018) showed that the seed treatment with amino acid did not have a positive effect on seed germination and seedling length, and increasing doses of amino acids may have a negative effect on soybean seed vigor. On the other hand, the use of amino acids via seed treatment associated with the foliar application can prevent undesirable effects (yellow flashing) of herbicide, as glyphosate on glyphosate-resistant (GR) soybeans (ZOBIOLE et al., 2010).

There was no significant influence of seed treatment on root and shoot dry mass (Table 2). The herbicide metsulfuron-methyl negatively affected the dry mass of roots in seedlings sowed immediately after its application (p = 0.03065), as was also observed for seedling emergence at seven DAS. The dry mass of roots decreased approximately 21% in the sowing immediately after the herbicide application. Among the symptoms caused by metsulfuron-methyl, the inhibition of cell division and elongation of the roots and young leaf cells have been reported soon after application of the herbicide (BIANCHI, 2009). This effect could be observed at 21 DAS when the dry mass of roots was reduced because of the herbicide application (Figure 1). Santos et al. (2009) also observed a negative effect of the herbicide metsulfuron-methyl on the dry mass of roots and aerial part of corn hybrids, mainly when the sowing was carried out on the same day of the herbicide application. However, at 30, 60, and 90 days after the application, the effect of the herbicide, applied in doses of 3.6 g.ha⁻¹ and 7.2 g.ha⁻¹ decreased (Santos et al., 2009), but not entirely due to the herbicide half-life, which varies from 30 to 120 days (ZANINI et al., 2009).

	Shoot dry mass (g plant ⁻¹)		Root dry mass (g plant ⁻¹)				
Treatment	14 DAS	21DAS	14 DAS	21 DAS			
Seed treatment							
Control	0.0329 ^{ns}	0.0578 ^{ns}	0.0134 ^{ns}	0.0353 ^{ns}			
Amino acid	0.0407	0.0758	0.0136	0.0358			
Micronutrient 1	0.0403	0.0575	0.0144	0.0344			
Micronutrient 2	0.0314	0.0566	0.0131	0.0381			
Herbicide application							
15 days pre-sowing	0.0364 ^{ns}	0.0671 ^{ns}	0.0142 ^{ns}	0.0398 a			
Sowing	0.0379	0.0567	0.0131	0.0316 b			
CV (%)	22.82	37.29	15.34	28.18			

Table 2 - Shoot and root dry mass of soybean seedlings, at 14 and 21 days after sowing (DAS), at twotimes of application of metsulfuron-methyl and in response to the treatment of seeds with micronutrientsand amino acids.

^{ns}No significant effect .

Means followed by the same letters in the column do not differ significantly by the Tukey test (p< 0.05). **Source:** Prepared by the authors, 2020.

The phytotoxicity of metsulfuron-methyl herbicide depends on the soil properties (SILVA; SILVA, 2007) and the period between its application and the sowing. This herbicide has lower adsorption on clay and higher adsorption in organic matter (VENCILL, 2002), and residues of metsulfuron-methyl bound previously to the soil matrix may be again released and cause damage to rotation or substitution crops (YE; SUN; WUB, 2003). Thus, in soils with adequate water availability and microbial degradation, the

higher leaching potential reduces the persistence of herbicides in soil (CARVALHO et al., 2015). The herbicide degradation rate can be accelerated with high temperatures, and its mobility can be increased at pH values of more than six (BIANCHI, 2009; EUROPEAN FOOD SAFETY AUTHORITY, 2015).

The herbicide metsulfuron-methyl, from the chemical group of sulfonylureas, is used in the control of the pre-emergence and post-emergence of dicot weeds (broad leaves). With systemic action, it is rapidly absorbed through the leaves and roots, with translocation throughout the plant. It is selective and recommended for crops of irrigated rice, rice, white oats, black oats, coffee, sugar cane, barley, wheat, and triticale (AGROFIT, 2019). This herbicide has not registered for use in soybean crops, due to phytotoxicity; and for this reason, it has shown effectiveness in controlling soybean volunteer plants (LIMA et al., 2011).

Figure 1- Soybean seedlings at 21 DAS. Seeds were treated with amino acids or micronutrients and sowing immediately after the application of the herbicide metsulfuron-methyl (A), and fifteen days after the application of herbicide (B). In this evaluation, sowing immediately after the application of the herbicide reduced the dry mass of roots (A).



Source: Prepared by Rotta (2020), and edited by the authors, 2020.

Conclusion

The application of metsulfuron-methyl at the sowing damages the establishment and performance of soybean seedlings. Thus, it is important to carry out sowing respecting the period of the carryover effect from the herbicide, in order to prevent phytotoxicity symptoms in the soybean crop.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Rafael Rotta and Tatiane Gulart Flores for their assistance in the field and laboratory.

References

AGOSTINETTO, D. Manejo e controle de plantas daninhas. In: BORÉM, A.; SCHEEREN, P. L. (Editores). **Trigo:** do plantio à colheita. Viçosa, MG: Ed. UFV, 2015, Cap. 8, p. 169-184.

AGROFIT. **Sistema de Agrotóxicos Fitossanitários. Ministério de Agricultura, Agropecuária e Abastecimento**. 2019. Available on: <u>http://agrofit.agricultura.gov.br/agrofit_cons/principal_agrofit_cons</u>. Access: 20 May 2019.

Freiberg & Ludwig

BIANCHI, M.A. **Sintomas e danos de metsulfuron-methyl em soja**. Cruz Alta: Fundacep, 2009, p. 1-4. CARVALHO, S.J.P; SOARES, D.J.; LOPEZ-OVEJERO, R.F.; CHRISTOFFOLETI, P.J. Soil persistence of chlorimuron-ethyl and metsulfuron-methyl and phytotoxicity to corn seeded as a succeeding crop. **Planta Daninha**, v. 33, n. 2, p. 331-339, 2015. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-83582015000200019</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

CRUZ, R.A.; OLIVEIRA, G.M.; CARVALHO, L.B.; SILVA, M.F.G.F. Herbicide resistance in Brazil: status, impacts, and future challenges. In: Kontogiannatos D.; Kourti, A.; Mendes, K.F. **Pests**: Classification, Management and Practical Approaches. Intechopen, 2020. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91236</u>. Access: 14 July 2020.

DALAZEN, G.; KRUSE, N.D.; MACHADO, S.L.O. Herbicidas de uso potencial no controle de buva e sua seletividade sobre aveia e azevém. **Revista Ciência Agronômica**, v. 46, n. 4, p.792-799, 2015. Available on: <u>http://</u> <u>ccarevista.ufc.br/seer/index.php/ccarevista/article/view/3952/1240</u>. Access: 08 June 2019.

DECARLI, L.; LUDWIG, M.P.; FREIBERG, J.A.; GIROTTO, E. Tratamento industrial em sementes de soja: qualidade fisiológica e desempenho da cultura. **Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias**, v. 14, n. 3, e6235, 2019. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v14i3a6235</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

DORR, C.S.; ALMEIDA, T.L.; PANOZZO, L.E.; SCHUCH, L.O.B. Treatment of soybean seeds of different levels of physiological quality with amino acids. **Journal of Seed Science**, v. 40, n. 4, p. 407-414, 2018. Available on: <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-1545v40n4199311</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

EUROPEAN FOOD SAFETY AUTHORITY. 2015. Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance metsulfuron-methyl. **EFSA Journal**, v. 13, n. 1, 116p., 2015. Available on: <u>https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2015.3936</u>. Access: 26 May 2019.

FERREIRA, E.B.; CAVALCANTI, P.P.; NOGUEIRA, D.A. **ExpDes.pt**: Pacote Experimental Designs (Portuguese). R package version 1.2.0. 2018. Available on: <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ExpDes.pt/ExpDes.pt.pdf</u>. Access: 26 April 2019.

LIMA, D.B.C.; SILVA, A.G.; PROCÓPIO, S.O.; BARROSO, A.L.L.; DAN, H.A.; COSTA, E.B.; PEREIRA, A.J.B. Seleção de herbicidas para o controle de plantas voluntárias de soja resistentes ao glyphosate. **Revista Brasileira de Herbicidas**, v. 10, n. 1, p. 01-12, 2011. Available on: <u>https://doi.org/10.7824/rbh.v10i1.94</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

MARCONDES, J.A.P.; CAIRES, E.F. Aplicação de molibdênio e cobalto na semente para cultivo da soja. **Bragantia**, v. 64, n. 4, p.687-694, 2005.

PAULA, J.M.; VARGAS, L.; AGOSTINETTO, D.; NOHATTO, M.A. Manejo de *Conyza bonariensis* resistente ao herbicida glyphosate. **Planta Daninha**, v. 29, n. 1, p. 217-227, 2011. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582011000100024</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

PIGNATI, W.A.; SOUZA E LIMA, F.A.N.; LARA, S.S.; CORREA, M.L.M.; BARBOSA, J.R.; LEÃO, L.H.C.; PIGNATTI, M.G. Distribuição espacial do uso de agrotóxicos no Brasil: uma ferramenta para a Vigilância em Saúde. **Ciência & Saúde Coletiva**, v. 22, n. 10, p. 3281-3293, 2017. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1413-812320172210.17742017</u>. Access: 10 July 2020.

RIZZARDI, M. A.; SILVA, L. Manejo de plantas daninhas eudicotiledôneas na cultura da soja Roundup Ready[®]. **Planta Daninha**, v. 32, n. 4, p. 683-697, 2014. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582014000400003</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

SANTOS, E.L.; BARROS, A.S.R.; NAGASHIMA, G.T.; FERREIRA, A. A.; PRETE, C. E. C. Efeito do herbicida metsulfuron-methyl sobre o crescimento Inicial de híbridos de milho. Revista Brasileira de Milho e Sorgo, v. 8, n. 2, p. 145-156, 2009. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.18512/1980-6477/rbms.v8n2p145-156</u>. Access: 20 May 2019.

SFREDO, G. J.; OLIVEIRA, M. C. N. **Soja**: molibdénio e cobalto. Londrina: Embrapa Soja, 2010, 36p. Available on: <u>https://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/bitstream/doc/859439/1/Doc322online1.pdf</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

SILVA, A.A.; SILVA, J.F. Tópicos em Manejo de Plantas Daninhas. 1. Ed. Editora UFV: Viçosa, MG, 2007, 367p.

SILVA, A.F.; CONCENÇO, G.; ASPIAZÚ, I.; FERREIRA, E.A.; GALON, L.; FREITAS, M.A.M.; SILVA, A.A.; FERREI-RA, F.A. Período anterior à interferência na cultura da soja-RR em condições de baixa, média e alta infestação. **Planta Daninha**, Viçosa-MG, v. 27, n. 1, p. 57-66, 2009. Available on: <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582009000100009</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

SOIL SURVEY STAFF. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 2014, 372p. Availabe on: <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/survey/class/taxonomy/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580</u>. Access: 20 May 2019.

TEIXEIRA, W.F.; FAGAN, E.B.; SOARES, L.H.; UMBURANAS, R.C.; REICHARDT, K.; NETO, D.D. Foliar and seed application of amino acids affects the antioxidant metabolism of the soybean crop. **Frontiers in Plant Science**, v. 8, 14p. 2017. Available on: <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00327</u>. Access: 28 April 2019.

VARGAS, L.; BIANCHI, M. A.; RIZZARDI, M. A.; AGOSTINETTO, D.; DAL MAGRO, T. Buva (*Conyza bonariensis*) resistente ao glyphosate na região sul do Brasil. **Planta Daninha**, v. 25, n. 3, p. 573-578, 2007. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582007000300017</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.

VARGAS, L.; GAZZIERO, D.L.P. **Manejo de buva resistente ao glifosato**. Passo Fundo: Embrapa Trigo, Documentos 91, 2009, 16p.

VENCILL, W. K. Herbicide Handbook. Lawrence: Weed Science Society of America, 2007, 493p.

YE, Q.; SUN, J.; WUB, J. Causes of phytotoxicity of metsulfuron-methyl bound residues in soil. Environmental Pollution, v. 126, n. 3, p. 417-423, 2003. Available on: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(03)00233-1</u>. Access: 28 April 2019.

ZANINI, G.P.; MANEIRO, C.; WAIMAN, C.; GALANTINI, J.A.; ROSELL, R.A. Adsorption of metsulfuron-methyl on soils under no-till system in semiarid Pampean Region, Argentina. Geoderma, v. 149, p. 110-115, 2009. Available on: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.11.025</u>. Access: Access: 28 April 2019.

ZOBIOLE, L.H.S.; OLIVEIRA J.R.; CONSTANTIN, J.; BIFFE, D.F.; KREMER, R.J. Uso de aminoácido exógeno na prevenção de injúrias causadas por glyphosate na soja RR. **Planta Daninha**, v. 28, n. 3, p. 643-653, 2010. Available on: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582010000300022</u>. Access: 18 May 2019.